

Robert M. Tzall  
 Nevada State Bar No. 13412  
 The Law Offices of Robert M. Tzall  
 2551 N. Green Valley Parkway  
 Building C, Suite 303  
 Henderson, NV 89014  
 Tel: 702-666-0233  
 robert@tzalllegal.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Clesha Jackson aka Rodriguez, Individually  
 and on Behalf of All Others Similarly  
 Situated

Plaintiff,

vs.

Midland Credit Management, Inc., Midland  
 Funding LLC

and John Does 1-25

Defendant(s).

) Docket No.

) **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT for**  
 ) **violations of the Fair Debt Collection**  
 ) **Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.**

) **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Clesha Jackson aka Rodriguez (hereinafter, “Plaintiff”), a Nevada resident, brings this Class Action Complaint by and through her attorney, The Law Offices of Robert M. Tzall against Defendants Midland Credit Management, Inc. (hereinafter Defendant “MCM”) and Defendant Midland Funding LLC (hereinafter “Defendant Midland Funding”) individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff’s counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff’s personal knowledge.

### **INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 1. Congress enacted the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (the “FDCPA”) in 1977  
6 in response to the “abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt  
7 collection practices by many debt collectors.” 15 U.S.C. §1692(a). At that time, Congress was  
8 concerned that “abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal  
9 bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual  
10 privacy.” *Id.* Congress concluded that “existing laws...[we]re inadequate to protect  
11 consumers,” and that ““the effective collection of debts’ does not require ‘misrepresentation  
12 or other abusive debt collection practices.’” 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).

13  
14 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive  
15 debt collection practices, but also to “insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using  
16 abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged.” *Id.* § 1692(e). “After  
17 determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate.” *Id.* § 1692(b),  
18 Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply  
19 with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

20  
21  
22 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et.  
23 seq. and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. The Court has pendent jurisdiction over the State law claims in  
24 this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

25 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) as this  
26 is where the Plaintiff resides as well as where a substantial part of the events or omissions  
27 giving rise to this claim occurred.  
28

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of Nevada consumers under §1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), and

6. Plaintiff is seeking damages and declaratory relief.

**PARTIES**

7. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Nevada, County of Nye, with an address of 5020 Holly Street, Pahrump, NV 89060.

8. Defendant MCM is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and as used in the FDCPA and can be served upon their registered agent, Corporation Service Company Which Will Do Business in California as CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service, 2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N, Sacramento, CA 95833.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant MCM is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.

10. Defendant Midland Funding is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and as used in the FDCPA and can be served upon their registered agent, Corporation Service Company Which Will Do Business in California as CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service, 2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N, Sacramento, CA 95833.

1           11.     Upon information and belief, Defendant Midland Funding is a company that uses  
2 the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of  
3 which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.

4           12.     John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the  
5 purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery  
6 and should be made parties to this action.  
7

8                                   **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

9           13.     Plaintiffs bring this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.  
10 P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).

11           14.     The Class consists of:

- 12                   a. all individuals with addresses in the State of Nevada;  
13                   b. to whom Defendant MCM sent an initial collection letter attempting to collect a  
14                   consumer debt;  
15                   c. on behalf of Defendant Midland Funding;  
16                   d. containing deceptively worded settlement offers;  
17                   e. which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action  
18                   and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the filing of this action.  
19

20           15.     The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of  
21 Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or  
22 have purchased debts.  
23

24           16.     Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are the Defendants and all officer, members,  
25 partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate  
26 families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate  
27 families.  
28

1           17. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which common  
2 issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal  
3 issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached  
4 as Exhibit A, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e.

5           18. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the  
6 same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of  
7 the Plaintiff Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with  
8 experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither  
9 the Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously  
10 pursue this action.

11           19. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action  
12 pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is  
13 a well-defined community interest in the litigation:

- 14           a. **Numerosity:** The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges,  
15 that the Plaintiff Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all  
16 members would be impractical.
- 17           b. **Common Questions Predominate:** Common questions of law and fact exist  
18 as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominate over  
19 any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal  
20 issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the  
21 forms attached as Exhibit A violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 22           c. **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class  
23 members. The Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class have claims  
24

1 arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained  
2 of herein.

3 d. **Adequacy:** The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the  
4 class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent  
5 class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter.  
6 Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits,  
7 complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor her counsel  
8 have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant  
9 class action lawsuit.  
10

11 e. **Superiority:** A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair  
12 and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all  
13 members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large  
14 number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a  
15 single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and  
16 expense that individual actions would engender.  
17

18 20. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure  
19 is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff  
20 Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is  
21 superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.  
22

23 21. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at  
24 the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues  
25 pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).  
26

27 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**  
28

22. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

23. Some time prior to September 10, 2020, an obligation was allegedly incurred to creditor Credit One Bank, N.A.

24. The Credit One Bank, N.A. obligation arose out of transactions incurred primarily for personal, family or household purposes.

25. The alleged Credit One Bank, N.A. obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

26. Credit One Bank, N.A. is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(4).

27. Credit One Bank, N.A. debt purportedly sold the alleged debt to Defendant Midland Funding who contracted with the Defendant MCM to collect the alleged debt.

Violation – September 10, 2020 Collection Letter

28. On or about September 10, 2020, Defendant MCM sent the Plaintiff a collection letter (the "Letter") regarding the alleged debt owed to Credit One Bank, N.A. See a true and correct copy of the Letter attached at Exhibit A.

29. The letter states a current balance of \$2,932.33 and gives three payment options:

1) Save 10% OFF - Pay 1 payment of \$2,638.80

2) Save 5% OFF - Pay 6 consecutive monthly payments of \$464.23

3) Pay \$50 per month – Payments as low as \$50 per month.

30. The third option provided by Defendant is not adequately explained and results in two different possible interpretations.





1 g. By making a false and misleading representation in violation of §1692e(10).

2  
3 **40.** By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's  
4 conduct violated Section 1692e et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages,  
5 costs and attorneys' fees.

6  
7 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

8  
9 41. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby  
10 requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

11  
12 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

13 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff Clesha Rodriguez aka Jackson, individually and on behalf of all others  
14 similarly situated, demands judgment from Defendant MCM and Defendant Midland Funding, as  
15 follows:

- 16  
17 1. Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying  
18 Plaintiff as Class representative, and Robert Tzall, Esq. as Class Counsel;  
19  
20 2. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;  
21  
22 3. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;  
23  
24 4. Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and  
25 expenses;  
26  
27 5. Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and  
28  
6. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may  
deem just and proper.

1 Dated: November 23, 2020

2  
3 /s/Robert M. Tzall

4 Robert M. Tzall

5 **The Law Offices of Robert M. Tzall**

6 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28